Exhibit 2

Bruce Alan Rosenzweig, M.D.

```
Page 1

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF KERN

COLEEN M. PERRY, )

Plaintiff, )

Plaintiff, )

CASE NO.

-vs- ) S-1500-CV 279123 LHB

HUNG T. LUU, M.D.; )

JOHNSON & JOHNSON, )

a New Jersey corporation; )
ETHICON, INC., )

a New Jersey corporation; )
and DOES 1-60, )

Defendants. )
```

The deposition of BRUCE ALAN ROSENZWEIG, M.D., called by the Defendants for examination, taken before CORINNE T. MARUT, C.S.R. No. 84-1968, a Notary Public within and for the County of DuPage, State of Illinois, and a Certified Shorthand Reporter of said state, at the offices of Wexler Wallace LLP, Suite 3300, 55 West Monroe Street, Chicago, Illinois, on December 15, 2014, commencing at 9:41 a.m.

Bruce Alan Rosenzweig, M.D.

| | Page 178 | | Page 180 |
|----|---|----|---|
| 1 | MR. SNELL: Yes. What time is it? | 1 | MR. SNELL: I don't think he said yes. |
| 2 | MR. CARTMELL: It's 3:00 basically. | 2 | MR. CARTMELL: Yes, he did. |
| 3 | MR. SNELL: Okay. | 3 | BY MR. SNELL: |
| 4 | (WHEREUPON, a recess was had | 4 | Q. This is the simple question. In your |
| 5 | from 2:55 to 3:23 p.m.) | 5 | opinion both mechanically-cut mesh and laser-cut |
| 6 | BY MR. SNELL: | 6 | mesh are defective? Yes or no. |
| 7 | Q. With regard to the Clavé paper, do you | 7 | A. Yes, for the reasons I described. |
| 8 | know what methodology was used to determine which | 8 | Q. So, if Dr. Luu would have opted to use a |
| 9 | of the 100 explants would ultimately be selected | 9 | mechanically-cut mesh you would have criticized |
| 10 | for chemical analysis? | 10 | that mesh, correct? |
| 11 | A. I don't think that's described in the | 11 | A. Well, Dr. Luu found in his experience a |
| 12 | paper. | 12 | 10% erosion rate associated with the Abbrevo slings |
| 13 | Q. Have you ever used a laser-cut mesh for | 13 | that he used. So, in his series he had a fairly |
| 14 | stress urinary incontinence treatment? | 14 | significant erosion rate. |
| 15 | A. No. | 15 | MR. SNELL: Move to |
| 16 | Q. Have you ever used a laser-cut mesh for | 16 | BY THE WITNESS: |
| 17 | pelvic organ prolapse treatment? | 17 | A. Now |
| 18 | A. Not that I'm aware of. | 18 | MR. SNELL: Move to strike. Non-responsive. |
| 19 | Q. In your opinion which is better, | 19 | BY MR. SNELL: |
| 20 | laser-cut mesh or mechanical-cut mesh? | 20 | Q. My question was straightforward. If |
| 21 | A. Well, both have problems. We know that | 21 | Dr. Luu would have used a mechanically-cut mesh you |
| 22 | mechanical-cut mesh frays, has particle loss, ropes | 22 | would have still criticized that mesh, correct? |
| 23 | and curls. Laser-cut mesh is stiffer. | 23 | A. If it was an Abbrevo? |
| 24 | Mechanical-cut mesh or laser-cut mesh | 24 | Q. If it was |
| 25 | was made, as a as Dr. Kammerer said in his | 25 | A. Mechanical-cut Abbrevo? |
| | Page 179 | | Page 181 |
| 1 | deposition, to save costs and not to fix any | 1 | Q. Or any type of mechanically cut stress |
| 2 | perceived problem. And so both of them have | 2 | incontinence sling. |
| 3 | problems associated with them. | 3 | A. It has problems with roping, curling and |
| 4 | Q. So, you believe that both mechanical-cut | 4 | fraying. |
| 5 | mesh and laser-cut mesh are defective? | 5 | Q. So, the answer is yes? |
| 6 | A. Mechanical-cut mesh ropes, curls, frays. | 6 | A. To if it was a mechanical-cut mesh, |
| 7 | Once you stretch it to a greater than 10 to 15% | 7 | yes. |
| 8 | elongation, it can undergo permanent elongation. | 8 | Q. You mentioned the earlier TVT Secur |
| 9 | You can lose pore size. | 9 | versus TVT-O study and the difference in the |
| 10 | Laser-cut mesh is stiff and therefore | 10 | exposure rate? |
| 11 | you get the properties of stress shielding which | 11 | A. That is correct. |
| 12 | increases erosion, incontinence and pain. | 12 | Q. What are the factors that could affect |
| 13 | MR. SNELL: Move to strike non-responsive. | 13 | that exposure rate seen in that study besides the |
| 14 | BY MR. SNELL: | 14 | laser-cut mesh? |
| 15 | Q. It's your opinion that both | 15 | A. In what respect? |
| 16 | mechanically-cut mesh and laser-cut mesh are | 16 | Q. In any respect. |
| 17 | defective, correct? | 17 | MR. CARTMELL: Do you have the study? |
| 18 | MR. CARTMELL: Object; asked and answered. | 18 | MR. SNELL: He's the one who raised it. If |
| 19 | BY THE WITNESS: | 19 | you have it. |
| 20 | A. I've described the problems associated | 20 | MR. CARTMELL: No, he told you what the |
| 21 | with both of them. | 21 | exposure rate is. Now you've asked him to go to |
| 22 | BY MR. SNELL: | 22 | the study and look at the data and what factors |
| 23 | Q. And my question is | 23 | could have influenced |
| 24 | MR. SNELL: Move to strike again. | 24 | MR. SNELL: No. |
| 25 | MR. CARTMELL: He just said yes. | 25 | MR. CARTMELL: the exposure rate. Produce |

46 (Pages 178 to 181)